

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/626,354 | SCHULTZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | DHIRU R PATEL | 2831 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) _____.

(2) Kit Stetina.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20 and figure 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 20 being revised to replace "or" with --of-- and figure 9 will be revised to replace element number 254 with 252.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Dhiru R Patel

DHIRU R. PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/10/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)